SPRECKELS UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT

BUILDING FUND (MEASURE B) FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE AUDITS

JUNE 30, 2016

SPRECKELS UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT

BUILDING FUND (MEASURE B) FINANCIAL AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2016

FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report	2
Building Fund (Measure B)	
Balance Sheet	4
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of Long-Term Obligations	12
Reconciliation of Annual Financial Report With Audited Building Fund (Measure B)	13
Note to Supplementary Information	14
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing</i> Standards	16
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	
Financial Statement Findings	19
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	20

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Governing Board and Citizens' Oversight Committee Spreckels Union School District Spreckels, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Spreckels Union School District (the District), Building Fund (Measure B), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of financial statements, whether due to error or fraud. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting principles used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Building Fund (Measure B) of the Spreckels Union School District at June 30, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Building Fund specific to Measure B, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of Spreckels Union School District in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Matters

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements of the Spreckels Union School District's Building Fund (Measure B). The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The accompanying supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the accompanying supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

Varinek, Trine, Vay + Co. LLP

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 14, 2016, on our consideration of the District's Building Fund (Measure B) internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's Building Fund (Measure B) internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Fresno, California

December 14, 2016

BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2016

ASSETS	
Deposits and investments	\$ 796,882
Total Assets	\$ 796,882
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	
LIABILITIES	
Total Liabilities	\$
FUND BALANCE	
Restricted	796,882
Total Fund Balance	 796,882
Total Liabilities and	
Fund Balance	\$ 796,882

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016

REVENUES	
Local revenue	\$ 22,732
Total Revenues	22,732
EXPENDITURES	
Supplies	363,701
Services	6,050
Capital outlay	4,081,830
Total Expenditures	4,451,581
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	(4,428,849)
FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING	5,225,731
FUND BALANCE - ENDING	\$ 796,882

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Spreckels Union School District's (the District) Building Fund (Measure B) conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). The Spreckels Union School District Building Fund accounts for financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the California School Accounting Manual.

Financial Reporting Entity

The financial statements include only the Building Fund of the Spreckels Union School District used to account for Measure B. This Fund was established to account for the expenditures of general obligation bonds issued under Measure B. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the Spreckels Union School District in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Building Fund are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Resources are allocated to and accounted for in the fund based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

The Building Fund is accounted for using a flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance reports on the sources (revenues and other financing sources) and uses (expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for all governmental funds. The District's governing board adopts an operating budget no later than July 1 in accordance with State law. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements. The Board revises this budget during the year to give consideration to unanticipated revenue and expenditures primarily resulting from events unknown at the time of budget adoption. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances lapse at June 30.

Fund Balance - Building Fund (Measure B)

As of June 30, 2016, fund balance of the Building Fund is classified as follows:

Restricted – amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Change in Accounting Principles

In February 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application. This Statement addresses accounting and financial reporting issues related to fair value measurements. The definition of fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. This Statement provides guidance for determining a fair value measurement for financial reporting purposes. This Statement also provides guidance for applying fair value to certain investments and disclosures related to all fair value measurements.

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement as of June 30, 2016.

In June 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 76, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments*. The objective of this Statement is to identify—in the context of the current governmental financial reporting environment—the hierarchy of generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The "GAAP hierarchy" consists of the sources of accounting principles used to prepare financial statements of state and local governmental entities in conformity with GAAP and the framework for selecting those principles. This Statement reduces the GAAP hierarchy to two categories of authoritative GAAP and addresses the use of authoritative and non-authoritative literature in the event that the accounting treatment for a transaction or other event is not specified within a source of authoritative GAAP.

This Statement supersedes Statement No. 55, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments*.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement as of June 30, 2016.

In December 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 79, Certain External Investment Pools and Pool Participants. This Statement addresses accounting and financial reporting for certain external investment pools and pool participants. Specifically, it establishes criteria for an external investment pool to qualify for making the election to measure all of its investments at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes. An external investment pool qualifies for that reporting if it meets all of the applicable criteria established in this Statement. The specific criteria address (1) how the external investment pool transacts with participants; (2) requirements for portfolio maturity, quality, diversification, and liquidity; and (3) calculation and requirements of a shadow price. Significant noncompliance prevents the external investment pool from measuring all of its investments at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes. Professional judgment is required to determine if instances of noncompliance with the criteria established by this Statement during the reporting period, individually or in the aggregate, were significant.

If an external investment pool does not meet the criteria established by this Statement, that pool should apply the provisions in paragraph 16 of Statement No. 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools*, as amended. If an external investment pool meets the criteria in this Statement and measures all of its investments at amortized cost, the pool's participants also should measure their investments in that external investment pool at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes. If an external investment pool does not meet the criteria in this Statement, the pool's participants should measure their investments in that pool at fair value, as provided in paragraph 11 of Statement No. 31, as amended.

This Statement establishes additional note disclosure requirements for qualifying external investment pools that measure all of their investments at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes and for governments that participate in those pools. Those disclosures for both the qualifying external investment pools and their participants include information about any limitations or restrictions on participant withdrawals.

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement as of June 30, 2016.

NOTE 2 - INVESTMENTS

Policies and Practices

The District is authorized under *California Government Code* to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State: U.S. Treasury instrument; registered State warrants or treasury notes: securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreement; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security, and collateralized mortgage obligations.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

Investment in County Treasury

The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statement at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Remaining	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value is to changes in market interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the Monterey County Investment Pool. The District maintains a Building Fund (Measure B) investment of \$796,882 with the Monterey County Investment Pool, with an average maturity of 366 days.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

NOTE 3 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The District categorizes the fair value measurements of its investments based on the hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value hierarchy, which has three levels, is based on the valuation inputs used to measure an asset's fair value. The following provides a summary of the hierarchy used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets that the District has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 1 assets may include debt and equity securities that are traded in an active exchange market and that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets.

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable, such as interest rates and curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, implied volatilities, and credit spreads. For financial reporting purposes, if an asset has a specified term, a Level 2 input is required to be observable for substantially the full term of the asset.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs should be developed using the best information available under the circumstances, which might include the District's own data. The District should adjust that data if reasonably available information indicates that other market participants would use different data or certain circumstances specific to the District are not available to other market participants.

Uncategorized - Investments in the Monterey County Treasury Investment Pool are not measured using the input levels above because the District's transactions are based on a stable net asset value per share. All contributions and redemptions are transacted at \$1.00 net asset value per share.

NOTE 4 - CONTINGENCIES

Litigation

The District is not currently a party to any legal proceedings regarding bond projects.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS JUNE 30, 2016

2012 General Obligation Bonds - Series A

In May 2014, the District issued general obligation bonds in the principal amount of \$7,000,000 for the addition and modernization of school facilities. The bonds were issued as current interest bonds with interest rates ranging from 2.0 percent to 3.75 percent. The bond payments begin on August 1, 2014 and mature on February 1, 2039.

General Obligation Bonds

The general obligation bonded debt is as follows:

				Bonds		Bonds
Issue	Maturity	Interest	Original	Outstanding		Outstanding
Date	Date	Rate	Issue	July 1, 2015	Redeemed	June 30, 2016
5/8/14	2/1/39	2.0-3.75%	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 6,615,000	\$ 110,000	\$ 6,505,000

2012 Series A General Obligation Bonds

	Interest to			
Fiscal Year	Principal	Maturity	Total	
2017	\$ 115,000	\$ 236,513	\$ 351,513	
2018	125,000	234,213	359,213	
2019	135,000	231,713	366,713	
2020	145,000	229,013	374,013	
2021	155,000	226,838	381,838	
2022-2026	960,000	1,076,438	2,036,438	
2027-2031	1,395,000	870,438	2,265,438	
2032-2036	1,960,000	547,338	2,507,338	
2037-2039	1,515,000	123,600	1,638,600	
Total, current interest refunding bonds	\$ 6,505,000	\$ 3,776,104	\$ 10,281,104	

See accompanying note to supplementary information.

RECONCILIATION OF ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT WITH AUDITED GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUND JUNE 30, 2016

There were no adjustments to the Unaudited Actual Building Fund (Measure B), which required reconciliation to the audited financial statements at June 30, 2016.

See accompanying note to supplementary information.

NOTE TO SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION JUNE 30, 2016

NOTE 1 - PURPOSE OF SCHEDULES

Schedule of Long-Term Obligations

This schedule provides a debt repayment schedule associated with the bond proceeds received through issuance of Building Fund (Measure B) obligations.

Reconciliation of Annual Financial Report With Audited Financial Statements

This schedule provides the information necessary to reconcile the fund balance of the Building Fund (Measure B) reported on the Unaudited Actual Financial Report to the audited financial statements.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Governing Board and Citizens Oversight Committee Spreckels Union School District Spreckels, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the accompanying financial statements of the Spreckels Union School District (the District) Building Fund (Measure B), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes of the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 14, 2016.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Building Fund specific to Measure B, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of Spreckels Union School District in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Spreckels Union School District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Spreckels Union School District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Spreckels Union School District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Spreckels Union School District's Building Fund (Measure B) financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Fresno, California December 14, 2016

Varioux, Trine, Tay + Co. LLP

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2016

None reported.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2016

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's schedule of financial statement findings.

SPRECKELS UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT

BUILDING FUND (MEASURE B) PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2016

PERFORMANCE AUDIT TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2016

Independent Auditor's Report on Performance	2
Authority for Issuance	3
Purpose of Issuance	3
Authority for the Audit	3
Objectives of the Audit	4
Scope of the Audit	4
Procedures Performed	4
Conclusion	4
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	5
Summary Schedule of Prior Year Audit Findings	6

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON PERFORMANCE

Governing Board and Citizens Oversight Committee Spreckels Union School District Spreckels, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of the Spreckels Union School District (the District) Building Fund (Measure B) for the year ended June 30, 2016.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal control in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion of the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that the District expended Building Fund (Measure B) funds only for the specific projects approved by the voters, in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

Fresno, California December 14, 2016

Variouk, Trine, Vay + Co. LLP

2

JUNE 30, 2016

AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE

The general obligation bonds associated with Measure B were issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California *Education Code*, and other applicable provisions of law. The Bonds are authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Education of the District.

The Spreckels Union School District received authorization at the election held on November 6, 2012, to issue bonds of the Districts in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$7,000,000. The proceeds are to finance construction and renovation projects approved by eligible voters within Districts. The propositions required approval by eligible voters within the Districts and the bonds represent the series of the authorized bonds issued under the 2012 authorizations.

PURPOSE OF ISSUANCE

The net proceeds of the Bonds and any other series of general obligation bonds issued under the Authorizations will be used for the purposes specified in the District bond proposition submitted at the election.

The 2012 general obligation bonds were issued "to improve educational quality/student achievement/safety in every local school, retain teachers, reduce the impact of state budget cuts, qualify for matching grants by upgrading technology to improve teaching/student learning, repairing/upgrading fire alarms, 9-1-1 systems, roofs, ventilation, classrooms/computer labs."

AUTHORITY FOR THE AUDIT

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55 percent of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55 percent, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in *Education Code* Sections 15278-15282:

- 1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.
- 2. The school district must list the specific school facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure, and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction and information technology needs in developing the project list.
- 3. Requires the school district to appoint a citizen's oversight committee.
- 4. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have been expended.

JUNE 30, 2016

5. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

OBJECTIVES OF THE AUDIT

- 1. Determine whether expenditures charged to the Building Fund have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of Measure B.
- 2. Determine whether salary transactions, charged to the Building Fund were in support of Measure B and not for District general administration or operations.

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2016, were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the period July 1 2015 through June 30, 2016, for the Building Fund (Measure B). Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and Measure B as to the approved bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

- 1. We selected a sample of expenditures for the period starting July 1, 2015 and ending June 30, 2016, and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that such funds were properly expended on the specific projects listed in the ballot text.
- 2. Our sample included bond related transactions totaling \$3,458,764. This represents 78 percent of the total expenditures of \$4,451,582, within the District's Building Fund.
- 3. We verified that funds from the Building Fund (Measure B) were generally expended for the construction, renovation, furnishing and equipping of District facilities constituting authorized bond projects.

CONCLUSION

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Spreckels Union School District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the Building Fund (Measure B) and that such expenditures were made for authorized bond projects.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS JUNE 30, 2016

None reported.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2016

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's schedule of financial statement findings.